

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/673,217	10/13/2000	Yoshiaki Tomotake	2000-1428A	3623
	759	90 11/18/2002			
	Wenderoth Lind & Ponack			EXAMINER	
	Suite 800 2033 K Street N	ıw		FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
Washington, DC		20006	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1774	10
				DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/673,217	TOMOTAKE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lawrence D Ferguson	1774		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.		ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
to by the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, Nonever, with the statutory period for reply sciple later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). **Extrassions of time ringly be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee base been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions and the corresponding mount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if foreded. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. **Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. **Appellant's Proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: **(a) \(\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); **(b) \(\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); **(c) \(\) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or **(d) \(\) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or **(d) \(\) they proposed or amendment(s) without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. **NOTE		PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 708.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.191(i) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Origination (2) as set forth in (5) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Diffice later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semanted patent time doubstiment. See 37 CFR 1.191(a), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) Subject the variase new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For purposes of	· -	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any same department and sustement. See \$7.000 Ft. 17.04(b). 1. □ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. □ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) □ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) □ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) □ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _See Continuation Sheet. 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The aj □ afficiavit, bj □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: CNITHIA H. KELLY SUPERNISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1.700	b)	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2 ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	have be 37 CFR (b) abov	en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be application in condition for allowance because: 5. ☐ The a)☐ affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1750	1.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 17:00	2.🖂	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a☐ affidavit, b☐ exhibit, or c☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 17:00	(a)	★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	(c)	
 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. □ Other: CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 	(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700		NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.	3.□	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	4.	
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	5.□	
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.	6.	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.	7.🛛	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700		The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700		Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700		Claim(s) objected to:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700		Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-8</u> .
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700		Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
Other: CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	10.	Other: CYNTHIA H. KELLY
		CUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	Date	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 10

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/673,217



Continuation of 2. NOTE: New claim 9 adds 'a high ink coloring density and a high ink absorption speed' which were not previously claimed..

J